arXiv:1612.07803v1 [math.RT] 22 Dec 2016

BOUNDING THE HOMOLOGY OF FI-MODULES

THOMAS CHURCH

Abstract. The main theorem of Church–Ellenberg [CE] is a sharp bound on the homology of FI-modules, showing that the Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity of FI-modules over $\mathbb{Z}$ can be bounded in terms of generators and relations. We give a new proof of this theorem, inspired by earlier proofs by Li–Yu and Li. This should be read after §2 of [CE], which contains all needed terminology and background.

The right-exact functors $H_0 \circ D$ and $S \circ H_0$ from FI-Mod to FB-Mod are naturally isomorphic.[1] Since S is exact,

$$S \circ \mathbf{L}H_0 = \mathbf{L}(S \circ H_0) = \mathbf{L}(H_0 \circ D) = (\mathbf{L}H_0) \circ (\mathbf{L}D).$$

Since $\mathbf{L}_q D = 0$ for $q \geq 2$ [CE, Lemma 4.7], we obtain for any W a two-row spectral sequence converging to SH_*W. Setting $K \coloneqq \mathbf{L}_1 D(W)$, this two-row spectral sequence can be rewritten as the LES

$$\cdots \to H_{p-1}K \to SH_pW \to H_pDW \to H_{p-2}K \to \cdots$$

Since nontrivial maps act by 0 on $K \simeq \ker(W \to SW)$, the differentials vanish in the complex $K \otimes \varepsilon_*$ computing H_*K, so $H_pK \simeq K \otimes \varepsilon_p$ (which was denoted $\widetilde{S}_{-p}K$ in [CEFN]). Therefore this LES becomes

$$\cdots \to K \otimes \varepsilon_{p-1} \to SH_pW \to H_pDW \to K \otimes \varepsilon_{p-2} \to \cdots \tag{1}$$

Write $f_p(W) \coloneqq \deg H_pW - p$.

Theorem A (Church–Ellenberg)**.** *$f_p(W) \leq f_0(W) + f_1(W)$.*

Our argument follows the proof in Li [L], which depends on Li–Yu [LY] (with ideas from Ramos [R], Nagpal [N], and ultimately Church–Ellenberg [CE]), but we remove the dependence on those earlier results; in particular, the characterizations of filtered FI-modules obtained in those papers are not necessary. Instead, we deduce Theorem A from the long exact sequence (1).

Proof. We argue by induction on $f_0(W)$. Set $N \coloneqq f_0(W) + f_1(W)$. In low degrees (1) becomes

$$\cdots \to H_2DW \to K \to SH_1W \to H_1DW \to 0 \tag{2}$$

$$0 \to SH_0W \to H_0DW \to 0 \tag{3}$$

From (2) and (3) we see that $f_1(DW) \leq f_1(W) - 1$ and $f_0(DW) = f_0(W) - 1$. Applying Theorem A to DW by induction shows $f_p(DW) \leq N - 2$. In particular, $\deg H_2DW \leq N$. Since $\deg SH_1W = f_1(W) \leq N$, from (2) we conclude $\deg K \leq N$, which implies $\deg K \otimes \varepsilon_p \leq N + p$. Returning to (1) for $p \geq 2$, we see the terms on either side of SH_pW have degree bounded by $N + (p-1)$ and $N + p - 2$ respectively, so $\deg SH_pW \leq N - 1 + p$. In other words, $f_p(W) \leq N$ as desired. □

References

[CE] T. Church and J.S. Ellenberg, Homology of FI-modules, *Geometry & Topology*, to appear. arXiv:1506.01022
[L] L. Li, Upper bounds of homological invariants of FI_G-modules, *Arch. Math.* 107 (2016) 201–211. arXiv:1512.05879
[LY] L. Li and N. Yu, Filtrations and Homological degrees of FI-modules, *J. Algebra* 472 (2017) 369–398. arXiv:1511.02977
[N] R. Nagpal, FI-modules and the cohomology of modular representations of symmetric groups, arXiv:1505.04294v1.
[R] E. Ramos, Homological invariants of FI-modules and FI_G-modules, arXiv:1511.03964v3.

Date: Original version December 28, 2015. References updated December 18, 2016.

[1] Being right-exact, it suffices to check that they agree on free/projective FI-modules, which on objects is Lemma 4.4 of [CE]. On morphisms there is a bit more to check (since a map $M(X) \to M(Y)$ need not be induced by a map $X \to Y$), but it is pleasant to work this out by hand (our interest is instead in the map $X \to Y$ that it *induces*, which always exists).